



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,859	01/15/2004	Laurent Launay	14XZ126397 (GEM-0128)	4616
23413	7590	08/22/2005	EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			LAY, MICHELLE K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/757,859	Applicant(s) LAUNAY ET AL.	
	Examiner Michelle K. Lay	Art Unit 2672	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-58 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

Ym

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 22 September 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "2" in the specification [0018] and "12" in Fig. 1 have both been used to designate display, such as a screen. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the image sensor disclosed in claims **45 - 55** must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

4. Applicant is advised that should claim **22** be found allowable, claim **24** will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

5. Applicant is advised that should claim **21** be found allowable, claim **25** will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims **57** and **58** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims **57** and **58** claim a computer program, however, since a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the computer program itself is not a process.

Art Unit: 2672

If the claims stated "A computer program stored on computer-readable medium", the 35 USC § 101 rejection would be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims **57** and **58** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Referring to claim **57**, the computer comprising code means is not supported by the written description. It is not mentioned in the written description other than claim 57.

Referring to claim **58**, the computer on a carrier carrying code is not supported by the written description. It is not mentioned in the written description other than claim 58.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims **1 – 44, 56** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ono et al. (US Patent No. 5,588,097).

In regards to claims **1, 2, 56** –

Ono et al. discloses a system and method for determining three degrees of freedom for orientation. Referring to Fig. 1, the graphic input apparatus of Ono et al. includes an input/output section (1) which includes a keyboard (4) and a table (5) which are used for inputting character and numerical information [column 2, lines 33 – 40]. The system also includes an image generating section (2) and figure rotation processing section (3) which are provided to the display screen (6) (claims **1, 56**: a user interface) via a two-dimensional display device (9) (claims **1, 56**: means for display) [column 2, lines 48 – 51]. The image generating section (2) includes a figure processing device (11) for generating, in the image generation mode, a three-dimensional figure based on the input information from the user (claims **1, 56**: means for processing image data in order to display the data in the form of a 3D model) [column 2, lines 55 – 59].

Figs. 5 – 9 specifies the respective three degrees of freedom for rotation. First, the center position of the object (21) being displayed on the screen (6) is automatically determined as a fixed point (step 41). If the user wants to select a point other than the object center as the fixed point, the user can specify such a point separately [column 4, lines 4 – 8]. Then, the user specifies one point on the spherical surface (22) displayed on the display screen (6) by pen (7) (step 43) (claims 1, 56: the means for processing acquires at least two points positioned in the 3D model via the user interface; claim 2: means for positioning an image acquisition system relative to an object) [column 4, lines 22 – 23]. Depending on an instruction from the user, an axis connecting the center O of the spherical surface (22) and the first-input point P0 is also displayed (claims 1, 56: to deduce the positioning of an axis defined by the two points in the 3D model) [column 4, lines 39 – 41]. Referring to Fig. 6, the polar coordinates (θ_0, Φ_0) of the starting point P0 being displayed on the spherical surface (22) are determined from its three-dimensional coordinates (step 61). The polar coordinates (θ_1, Φ_1) of the final input position P1 of a continuous trace have been made by the user on the spherical surface (22) user the pen (7) (step 63) are determined. The differences between the coordinates are calculated. These differences correspond to the variation of the posture of the object (21) in its initial state. Thus, the user can rotate the object (21) to a desired orientation. Upon completion of the input, the marker that was indicated at the first stage is erased, and the process returns to the initial state (step 67 – 69) (claims 1, 56: reorient the 3D model such that the axis is in a predefined orientation relative to a plane of the means for display; claim 2: means implement positioning of the acquisition system to

correspond with an orientation of the model as displayed on the means for display).

[column 5, lines 1 – 23].

In regards to claims **3, 11 – 22, 24, 25 –**

Referring to Figs. 8 and 9, a line segment OP1 connecting the point P1 that has already been inputted and the center O of the imaginary spherical surface (22) is regarded as the rotation of axis (step 81). Then, a point P2 on the spherical surface (22) that is inputted by the user is indicated by a marker as the rotation start point (step 82). The user moves the pen (7) from the start point P2 in the direction of the desired rotation and then specifies another point P3 on the spherical surface (22), so that the angle $P_2P_1P_3$ defines a rotation angle α (claim 3: means for orienting by controlling an angular position of the system to correspond with an orientation of the 3D model as defined on means for display) [column 5, lines 24 – 45]. The posture of the object (21) after it is subjected to the rotation is calculated by the projection conversion circuit (18) and the calculation results are displayed on the display screen (6) [column 5, lines 40 – 46]. Thus, the single calculation provides a section view of the 3D model on a section plane which presents a predefined orientation relative to the axis indicated by the user since it shows one angle of orientation of the 3D model (claims 11 – 15: display of a section view of the 3D model on a section plane which presents a predefined orientation relative to the axis indicated by the user). By repeating the above operations, the user can rotate the object (21) in the desired direction (step 86) (claims 16 – 20: moves the section plan progressively under control from the user interface) [column 5, lines 46 –

48]. Furthermore, as the user rotates the object (21), i.e. moving the section plan in the 3D model, the rotation angle α is kept constant (claims **21, 22, 24, 25**: moves the section plane in the 3D model while keeping the section plane in a predefined orientation).

In regards to claims **4 – 10, 23 –**

The data to be inputted by the user for the rotational operation includes components for the surface coordinates (i.e., polar coordinates) and a component for the rotation angle about an axis [column 3, lines 45 – 47]. When points P0 and P1 are the same point on the spherical surface (22), the object rotates about the axis (O-P0) by the rotation angle α by specifying point P0 and then determining points P2 and P3 (claims **7 – 10**: implements rotation of the 3D model about the axis defined by the two points indicated by the user) [column 3, lines 60 – 65]. Thus, by defining points P2 and P3 as P0, the angle of rotation α is zero resulting in a rotation around the O-P0 axis, i.e. parallel to the plane of the means for display (claims **4 – 6**: orients the 3D in such a manner that the axis defined by the two points indicated by the user is parallel to the plane of the means for display; claim **23**: predefined orientation of the section plane is orientated parallel to the axis indicated by the user).

In regards to claims **26 – 44 –**

With reference to Figs. 5 – 9, the center position of the object (21) being displayed on the screen (6) is automatically determined as a fixed point (step 41). If the user

Art Unit: 2672

wants to select a point other than the object center as the fixed point, the user can specify such a point separately [column 4, lines 4 – 8]. Then, the user specifies one point on the spherical surface (22) displayed on the display screen (6) by pen (7) (step 43) [column 4, lines 22 – 23]. If another point P1 is inputted immediately after the first point P0, it is determined that the axial rotation angle is going to be inputted (step 49) (claims **26 – 34**: acquires at least three points positioned in the 3D model; claims **35 – 44**: acquires a plurality of points) [column 4, lines 49 – 54]. Depending on an instruction from the user, an axis connecting the center O of the spherical surface (22) and the first-input point P0 is also displayed [column 4, lines 39 – 41], as well as a line segment OP1 connecting the point P1 and the center O (claims **26 – 34**: to deduce two axes therefrom each passing through a pair of points; claims **35 – 44**: deduce a plurality of axes therefrom that are not all mutually parallel, each passing through a different pair of points selected from the plurality of points) [column 5, lines 30 – 32]. Since both points, i.e. P0 and P1 are x, y coordinates, it can be concluded that the axis formed with these points, i.e. O-P0 and O-P1, are parallel to the display (claims **26 – 34**: reorient the 3D model in such a manner that the two axes are substantially parallel to the means for display; claims **35 – 44**: reorient the 3D model bringing the set of the axes as close as possible to parallel with the plane of the means for display).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims **45 – 55** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono et al. (US Patent No. 5,588,097) in view of Gillio (US Patent 5,704,791).

Ono et al. teaches the limitations of claims **45 – 55** with the exception of disclosing physically orienting an image sensor relative to the final confirmed orientation.

However, Gillio teaches a virtual surgery system where the user can simulate surgical procedures on a display and have a robot perform the same operation.

Ono et al. discloses a system and method for determining three degrees of freedom for orientation. Referring to Figs. 8 and 9, a line segment OP1 connecting the point P1 that has already been inputted and the center O of the imaginary spherical surface (22) is regarded as the rotation of axis (step 81). Then, a point P2 on the spherical surface (22) that is inputted by the user is indicated by a marker as the rotation start point (step 82). The user moves the pen (7) from the start point P2 in the direction of the desired rotation and then specifies another point P3 on the spherical surface (22), so that the angle $P_2P_1P_3$ defines a rotation angle α about axis OP1 (step 83 and 84) [column 5, lines 24 – 45]. Next, the axial rotation angle is calculated by the axial rotation angle calculation circuit (18). The posture of the object (21) after it is subjected to the rotation is calculated by the projection conversion circuit (18) and the

calculation results are displayed on the display screen (6) [column 5, lines 40 – 46]. By repeating the above operations, the user can rotate the object (21) in the desired direction (step 86) [column 5, lines 46 – 48]. Upon completion of the input, the marker that was indicated at the first stage is erased, and the process is finished (step 87 and 88) (claims **45 – 55**: identifying a final orientation of the 3D model as confirmed by the user) [column 5, lines 45 – 48].

Gillio discloses a virtual surgery system based on image data. As shown in Fig. 1, the virtual surgery system includes computer (100), which includes a processor (102) and a memory(104). The virtual surgery system additionally includes a virtual scope handle mouse device (106) (claims **45 – 55**: means for producing a command signal for physically orienting an image sensor relative to the user) [column 4, lines 15 – 24]. Image data is stored in memory (104) of the computer (100). Where the image data may be a three dimensional data set [column 4, lines 44 – 47]. Memory (104) may also store image data unrelated to or having no bearing on a human or animal anatomy. This image data may relate to particular volumes, shapes, sizes, textures, etc. [column 4, lines 63 – 66]. As the user moves a virtual scope to traverse through an image space and perform virtual surgery in that image space, the computer or processor tracks where the endoscopic camera is, and what that camera is looking at [column 16, lines 15 – 19]. Telesurgery may be used where a surgeon performs surgery form a distance. A robot can be used to simulate hand movements of the surgeon at the remote location via a tele-robotic unit. The robot can move the real endoscope or other surgical device according to the movements of the surgeon performed using a virtual scope (claims **45**

– 55: physically orienting an image sensor relative to the user) [column 17, lines 13 – 18].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the graphics processor of Gillio with the image generating section that includes a figure processing device of Ono et al. so the physical model of the 3D model in correspondence with the 3D model on the display means of Ono et al. can be manipulated only once in accordance to the desired manipulation made on the display means of Ono et al., preventing unwanted orientation of the physical 3D model.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chen (US Patent No. 5,019,809)

Gotoh et al. (US Patent No. 5,956,045)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle K. Lay whose telephone number is (571) 272-7661. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00am - 4:30pm.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (571) 272-7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michelle K. Lay
Examiner
Art Unit 2672

07.27.2005 mkl *ML*.


RICHARD HJERPE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600
8/2/05